

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/495,971	02/02/2000	Sarit Neter	YMEDIA.002A	5523
28112 . 7	28112 . 7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
28 DAVIS AV POUGHKEEP	ENUE SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2612	11
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M (a). In no event, however, may a sithin the statutory minimum of thir apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become Alate of this communication, even if	AONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing de earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Examiner Timothy J Henn ars on the cover sheet w IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thir apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become Al ate of this communication, even if	Art Unit 2612 MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing de earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Timothy J Henn ars on the cover sheet w IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a lithin the statutory minimum of thir apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become Al ate of this communication, even if e 2004.	2612 In the correspondence address MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing de earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 No. In no event, however, may a lithin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become All ate of this communication, even if	rith the correspondence address MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing deceared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this apply and will expire SIX (6) MONause the application to become All ate of this communication, even if	AONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing die earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	(a). In no event, however, may a lithin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MOH ause the application to become Al ate of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·- ·- ·-				
	·- ·- ·-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Jun	ction is non-final.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-10,12-23,26,28-33,35,36,39 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-10,12-23,26,28-33,35,36,39 and</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	n from consideration. d 40 is/are rejected.	ne application.			
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2000</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1 	awing(s) be held in abeya n is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents if 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents if 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in A y documents have beer (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-10, 12-23, 26, 28-33, 35, 36, 39 and 40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. The office notes that the official notice statements taken in the previous office action have not been challenged by the applicant and are therefore taken as admissions of prior art as directed by MPEP §2144.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 12, 15, 20, 26, 28, 29, 31, 33, 35, 36, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto (US 4,768,085).

[claim 1]

In regard to claim 1, note that Hashimoto discloses a color imaging system for compensating a color response comprising: an array of pixel sensor elements (e.g. Figure 1); a color filter including a plurality of color filter components organized in a predefined pattern, the color filter overlaying at least a portion of the array, wherein the pixel sensor elements include at least one element associated with a first color filter component, at least one element associated with a second color component and at least one element associated with a third color component (e.g. Figure 1; c. 3, II. 37-47);

components (Figure 1, Item 2a1; c. 4, II. 5-15).

Art Unit: 2612

a first and second analog compensation unit coupled to at least one element associated with the first and second color filter components, respectively, the analog compensation units adapted to modify a readout of at least one element associated with the respective color component (Figure 5, Items 4 and 5; c. 4, II. 55-59); an analog summer (i.e. summing amplifier) coupled to two elements associated with the third color filter component and outputting an analog sum of the two elements (Figure 2, Item 2a3; Figure 5); a third analog compensation unit coupled to at least one element associated with the first and second color filter components, respectively, the analog compensation units adapted to modify a readout of at least one element associated with the respective color component (Figure 5, Item 3; c. 4, II. 55-59); and an array controller adapted to control the readout of the elements associated with the first second and third color

[claim 3]

In regard to claim 3, note that Hashimoto discloses an array which is arranged in a plurality of rows and columns (e.g. Figure 1).

[claim 4]

In regard to claim 4, note that Hashimoto discloses an array controller adapted to control readout of a plurality of pixel sensor elements in parallel (c.4, II. 5-15).

[claim 6]

In regard to claim 6, note that Hashimoto discloses analog compensation units which are gain amplifiers (c.4, II. 55-59).

[claim 12]

In regard to claim 12, note that Hashimoto discloses color filter components including the colors of red, blue and green (Figure 1; c. 3, II. 37-47).

[claim 15]

In regard to claim 15, note that Hashimoto discloses an array controller which causes a plurality of substantially simultaneous, independent readouts for a plurality of rows and some columns (c. 4, II. 5-15).

[claim 20]

In regard to claim 20, note that at least a first pixel sensor element of Hashimoto is associated with a different color than a second, neighboring pixel sensor element (Figure 1).

[claim 26]

In regard to claim 26, note that Hashimoto discloses a method of compensating color response in an analog domain of an array of pixel sensor elements comprising: amplifying an analog output from a plurality of elements of a first color component (Figure 5, Item 4; c. 4, II. 55-59); amplifying an analog output from a plurality of elements of a second color component wherein two said elements outputs are summed together prior to the amplifying (Figure 5, Item 3 and (G1+G2); c. 4, II. 55-59; c. 5, II. 49-60); and generating a compensated analog readout of the plurality of elements of the first color component (Figure 5).

[claim 28]

In regard to claim 28, note that Hashimoto discloses generating a compensated analog readout comprising amplifying the analog readout for the plurality of elements of

the first color component with a first programmable gain amplifier (i.e. white balance amplifier; c.4, II. 55-59; The office notes that the system of figure 5 would inherently include such a white balance controller to allow for proper white balance, even though such a controller is not depicted).

[claim 29]

In regard to claim 29, note that Hashimoto discloses white balancing the first color component (i.e. determining an optical level of color compensation for the analog readout of the plurality of elements of the first color component; c. 4; II. 55-59).

[claim 31]

In regard to claim 31, note that the Hashimoto discloses sensor elements that are associated with the colors of red, blue and green (Figure 1; c. 3, II. 37-47).

[claim 33]

In regard to claim 33, note that Hashimoto discloses an act of generating comprising generating a plurality of substantially simultaneous, independent readouts for the set of rows and the set of columns (c. 4, II. 5-15).

[claim 35]

In regard to claim 35, note that Hashimoto discloses a color imaging system for compensating a color response comprising: an array of pixel sensor elements (e.g. Figure 1); a color filter including a plurality of color filter components organized in a predefined pattern, the color filter overlaying at least a portion of the array, wherein the pixel sensor elements include at least one element associated with a first color filter component, at least one element associated with a second color component and at

Art Unit: 2612

least one element associated with a third color component (e.g. Figure 1; c. 3, II. 37-47); a first and second analog compensation unit coupled to at least one element associated with the first and second color filter components, respectively, the analog compensation units adapted to modify a readout of at least one element associated with the respective color component (Figure 5, Items 4 and 5; c. 4, II. 55-59); an analog summer (i.e. summing amplifier) coupled to two elements associated with the third color filter component and outputting an analog sum of the two elements (Figure 2, Item 2a3; Figure 5); a third analog compensation unit coupled to at least one element associated with the first and second color filter components, respectively, the analog compensation units adapted to modify a readout of at least one element associated with the respective color component (Figure 5, Item 3; c. 4, II. 55-59); and an array which selectively couples elements associated with the first, second and third colors to the first, second and third amplifiers respectively (Figure 2; Figure 5; note the individual colors connected to individual amplifiers).

[claim 36]

In regard to claim 36, note that Hashimoto discloses sensor elements arranged in rows and columns (Figure 1).

[claim 39]

In regard to claim 39, note that Hashimoto discloses a method of interpolating a color value in the analog domain in real-time, comprising: modifying a first analog signal corresponding to the output of a first pixel element in an imager to color correct the first pixel, wherein the first pixel element is used to sense light intensity of a first color (e.g.

Page 7

Figure 5, Item 4; c. 4, II. 55-59); and modifying a second analog signal corresponding to the output of a second and a third pixel element in an imager to color correct the second and third pixels, wherein the second and third pixels are used to sense light intensity of a second color and wherein the second analog signal is a sum of the second a third pixel elements (Figure 5, Item 3; c. 4, II. 55-59; The office notes that the system of Hashimoto modifies the analog signals by white balancing them).

[claim 40]

In regard to claim 40, note that Hashimoto discloses modifying a third analog signal corresponding to the output of a third pixel element in the imager to color correct the third pixel (Figure 5, Item 5; c. 4, II. 55-59)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 7, 8, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (US 4,768,085) in view of Boisvert et al. (US 5,329,312). [claim 7]

In regard to claim 7, note that Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for analog compensation units which are programmable gain amplifiers.

Boisvert et al. teaches an improved analog signal processing system which provides white balancing with minimal dark level differences (e.g. c. 5, II. 7-29) using separate programmable gain amplifiers for each pixel color (e.g. Figure 1; Figure 2; c. 6,

Art Unit: 2612

II. 14-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the programmable gain amplifiers of Boisvert et al. in the white balance system of Hashimoto to reduce the amount of dark level differences between the colors.

Page 8

[claim 8]

In regard to claim 8, see claim 7.

[claim 30]

In regard to claim 30, note that Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for a compensated analog readout that depends on a temperature of the system.

Boisvert et al. teaches an improved analog signal processing system which provides white balancing with minimal dark level differences (e.g. c. 5, Il. 7-29) using separate programmable gain amplifiers for each pixel color (e.g. Figure 1; Figure 2; c. 6, Il. 14-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the programmable gain amplifiers of Boisvert et al. in the white balance system of Hashimoto to reduce the amount of dark level differences between the colors. It is further noted that the analog signal processors of Boisvert et al. are temperature compensated (i.e. the readout depends on temperature of the system; c. 13, Il. 20-24).

[claim 32]

In regard to claim 32, note that Hashimoto discloses an act of generating comprising: generating an independent readout for even numbered rows (i.e. Figure 2, Items G2 and B2); generating an independent readout for odd numbered rows (i.e.

Art Unit: 2612

component.

Figure 2, Items G1 and R1); generating an independent readout for even numbered columns (i.e. Figure 2, Items R1 and B2); and generating an independent readout for odd numbered columns (i.e. Figure 1, Items G1 and G2; The office notes that each of the pixel elements G1, B1, G2 and R2 are readout independently (i.e. on independent readout lines) from each other which meets the limitation of the claims (i.e. c.4, II. 5-9)), such that at least one element associated with red, blue and green filter components are coupled to first, second and third gain amplifiers respectively (Figure 5). Therefore,

it can be seen that Hashimoto lacks programmable gain amplifiers for each color

Boisvert et al. teaches an improved analog signal processing system which provides white balancing with minimal dark level differences (e.g. c. 5, II. 7-29) using separate programmable gain amplifiers for each pixel color (e.g. Figure 1; Figure 2; c. 6, II. 14-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the programmable gain amplifiers of Boisvert et al. in the white balance system of Hashimoto to reduce the amount of dark level differences between the colors.

7. Claim 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (US 4,768,085) in view of Boisvert et al. (US 5,329,312) as applied to claim 7 above, and in further view of Zhou et al. (IEEE).

[claims 9 and 10]

Application/Control Number: 09/495,971 Page 10

Art Unit: 2612

In regard to claims 9 and 10 it can be seen that Boisvert et al. disclose all limitations except for programmable gain amplifiers contained within the pixel circuitry and within a plurality of column buffers. However, such a system is well known in the art, (for example see Zhou, Figures 1 and 2) as a way to reduce the overall size of imaging systems. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the design of Boisvert et al. with the gain amplifiers of Zhou contained in the pixel circuitry of the array in a plurality of column buffers to reduce the overall size.

8. Claims 13, 14, 16-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (US 4,768,085).

[claims 13 and 14]

In regard to claims 13 and 14, Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for the interlaced or odd and even readout modes of columns and rows. However, the use of independent readout of even and odd rows or columns is well known in the art to create industry standard NTSC TV signals or to reduce the amount of data readout during for a frame when a high frame rate is more important than high resolution. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use even and odd row or column readout with the imaging system of Hashimoto to create NTSC TV signals or to reduce the resolution in order to achieve higher frame rates.

[claims 16 and 19]

In regard to claims 16 and 19, Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for a passive CCD imaging device. However, the use of CCD imagers in cameras is well known in the art to provide higher sensitivity than other imagers, such as CMOS imagers (Official Notice). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a passive CCD imager as the imaging device of Hashimoto to achieve higher sensitivity.

[claims 17 and 18]

In regard to claims 17 and 18, Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for an active CMOS imaging sensor device. However, it is well known in the art to use active CMOS image sensors in applications where nondestructive readout of pixels is required, such as in Hashimoto (e.g. c. 3, II. 48-52). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an active CMOS image sensor in the imaging system of Hashimoto to allow for non-destructive readout of the image sensor (Official Notice).

[claim 21]

In regard to claim 21, note that Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for color components organized in a Bayer color pattern. However, the use of the Bayer color pattern is well known in the art for its ability to provide a greater amount of luminance data than chrominance data in a way similar to human vision, see Bayer (US 3,971,065). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a Bayer color filter to more closely mimic human

Art Unit: 2612

vision (Official Notice).

[claim 22]

In regard to claim 22, note that Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for a complementary color scheme include yellow, cyan and magenta color filters. However, the use of yellow, cyan and magenta is a well known design alternative to the use of red, green and blue color filters as is well known in the art. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use yellow, cyan and magenta color filters to achieve color images (Official Notice).

9. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (US 4,768,085) in view of Sano et al. (IEEE).

[claim 23]

In regard to claim 23, note that Hashimoto discloses all limitations except for a micro-lens layer. However, the use of micro-lens layers on image sensors is well known in the art to increase photosensitivity of the image sensor arrays, for example see Sano et al. (IEEE). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a micro-lens layer with the imaging system of Wada et al. to increase photosensitivity.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J Henn whose telephone number is (703) 305-8327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2612

Page 14

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJH 6/23/2004

WENDY R. GARBER
WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600